Stea Army

To quin Shea from harold Weisberg JFK Referrels 5/29/00

If the Poll and either Departmental components had not out to make it impossible for requestors to know what is involved in referrals, even the identifications of referred records, they could not have succeeded better. Even in afficients filed in court identification of the records is availed as though promotion or survival require it, as say be the case.

The confusion and muon extra work and cost are caused by abother element: when I finally get the smierred record it still is not identified as an FBI record and there is no way in which I can correlate a record from another agency with what is missing from what the FBI provided and be certain I have made the correct identification. Even then the FBI's file identifications will be missing.

The act provides for consultation with other approxies. It does not require that other agreeies provide copies of their records, which are not identical with FBI copies.

A recent experience with the National Security Council is illustrative.

when I received a May 6 letter from MSC I replied on May 13 telling them I had no idea what they have talking about. Their date of May 19 I received the attached and utterly meaningless response. Sefere I could respond and tell MSC that I have made no request of it I received the attached letter of the 22.

I was avere that the army had referred to NSC, but I knew this was not in response to any request I'd made of the army. (It claims to have destroyed all its JFK records.)

I still do not know and have no way of knowing that records were referred to the Army by what "epartment component or components, FM or other.

These kinds of procedures waste enormous amount of time and money, deny requesters their rights and frustrate the Act and its intent.

I think it is past time for someone to be thinking of move than wasting money and requesters.